Title of Report: Insurance Tender

Report to be considered by:

Executive

Date of Meeting: 6th September 2012

Forward Plan Ref: EX2520

Purpose of Report: To request that the Executive gives delegated

authority to approve contract(s) award for the Council's insurances to the Head of Finance - in consultation with the Head of Legal Services and the

Portolio Holder for Insurance.

Recommended Action: The Executive resolves to delegate to Head of Finance

authority to approve the tender process as contained

in the report and enter into various insurance

contracts with the successful bidders in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Insurance and the Head of

Legal Services.

Reason for decision to be

taken:

to ensure the Council has sufficient time to properly

evaluate the insurance tenders

Other options considered: none

Key background documentation:

none

The proposals will help achieve the following Council Strategy principle:

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy principle by:

Ensuring the Council accepts the most economically advantageous tenders for the Council's insurances

Portfolio Member Details		
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Joe Mooney - Tel (0118) 9412649	
E-mail Address:	jmooney@westberks.gov.uk	
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:	01 July 2012	

Contact Officer Details		
Name:	Ian Priestley	
Job Title:	Title: Chief Internal Auditor	
Tel. No.:	01635 519253	
E-mail Address:	ipriestley@westberks.gov.uk	

Implications Policy: none Financial: none Personnel: none The Procurement exercise is being carried out in compliance with Legal/Procurement: the Council's Constitution and the Public Contract Regulations 2006 (as amended). Property: none **Risk Management:** none **Equalities Impact** none required **Assessment:** Yes: Is this item subject to call-in? No: If not subject to call-in please put a cross in the appropriate box: The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council

Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position

associated Task Groups within preceding six months

Item is Urgent Key Decision

Report is to note only

Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or

Executive Report

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Council's existing insurance arrangements end on the 31st October 2012. New arrangements are required to replace them.
- 1.2 The Tender process was started in February this year. Tenders were invited on 27th June and the closing date for receipt of tenders set at 1st August.
- 1.3 The value of the contract(s) is likely to be around £600,000 pa,

2. Evaluation process

- 2.1 The tender process has been managed by the Council, Ian Priestley, Chief Internal Auditor, Marina Billinge Jones, Senior Insurance Officer and Mike Sullivan, Procurement Officer, supported by Geoff Dunn from the Council's insurance brokers, Jardine Lloyd Thompson. The Portfolio Holder for Insurance has been consulted throughout the process
- 2.2 The following classes of are covered by the process:
 - (1) Property
 - (2) Liability, including claims handling.
 - (3) Motor
 - (4) Engineering
 - (5) Group Personal Accident
- 2.3 Each of the above classes of insurance were offered as individual "lots", and will be evaluated separately.
- 2.4 The insurance covers the Council and the Council's schools that choose to buy cover from the Council through the Fair Funding arrangements.
- 2.5 The evaluation aims to identify the most economically advantageous tender for the Council for each "Lot". In summary each "Lot" has been evaluated through a combination of price and quality. The balance of price and quality varies from "Lot" to "Lot". Some or all of the following quality elements, depending on the cover, were assessed:
 - (1) Assessment of policy cover
 - (2) Claims handling
 - (3) Risk Management advice
 - (4) Administration / experience
 - (5) Service delivery

3. Request for delegated authority

- 3.1 The tender evaluation process will be complex and may well require the Council to obtain clarification from tenderers. This means that it will be difficult for officers to meet the deadlines set by the meetings of the Executive, and at the same time ensure a robust evaluation has taken place.
- 3.2 In addition a stand still period of 10 days is required once the contract(s) has been awarded and there will also be time required for a handover / mobilisation for the incoming insurer(s).
- 3.3 The most effective way to manage this process, within the timescales of the tender process, is to provide the Head of Finance with delegated authority to approve the contract(s), in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Insurance.

4. Recommendation

4.1 The Executive resolves to delegate to Head of Finance authority to approve the tender process as contained in the report and enter into various insurance contracts with the successful bidders in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Insurance and the Head of Legal Services.

Appendices

Appendix A – Equality Impact Assessment – Stage 1

Consultees

Local Stakeholders: N/a

Officers Consulted: Shiraz Sheikh (Procurement & Contracts Solicitor);

Mike Sullivan (Procurement Officer)

Corporate Board

Trade Union: N/a

APPENDIX A

Equality Impact Assessment – Stage One

Name of item being assessed:		Insurance Tende	r		
Version and release date of item (if applicable):					
Owner of item being assessed:		Ian Priestley			
Name of assessor:		Ian Priestley			
Date of assessment:		23 July 2012			
1.	What are	the main aims of t	he item?		
To provide delegated authority to the Head of Finance to award the Council's contract(s) for insurance					
2. Note which groups may be affected by the item, consider how they may be affected and what sources of information have been used to determine this. (Please demonstrate consideration of all strands – age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation)					
Group Affected What might be the		e effect?	Information to support this.		
none	one				
Further comments relating to the item:					
None					
3.	Result (please tick by clicking on relevant box)				
	High Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment				
	Medium Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment				
	Low Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment				
\boxtimes	No Relevance - This does not need to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment				

For items requiring a Stage 2 equality impact assessment, begin the planning of this now, referring to the equality impact assessment guidance and Stage 2 template.

4. Identify next steps as appropriate:	
Stage Two required	
Owner of Stage Two assessment:	
Timescale for Stage Two assessment:	
Stage Two not required:	

Name: Ian Priestley Date: 23 July 2012