## EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE
### ON 14TH MAY 2014

## UPDATE REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No:</th>
<th>Application No:</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>13/02781/FULD</td>
<td>5-28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Site:
Land adjacent to Middle Cottage, Stanford Dingley

### Planning Officer Presenting:
Dave Pearson

### Member Presenting:

### Parish Representative speaking:
Mr Mark Hawkesworth
Ms Anne Chessum

### Objector(s) speaking:
Mr Brian Connorton

### Supporter(s) speaking:
N/A

### Applicant/Agent speaking:
Mr and Mrs Francis
Ms Annika Hatchwell

### Ward Members:
Councillor Quentin Webb
Councillor Graham Pask

### Update Information:

#### 1 ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

1.1 It was advised on the 6th May that the incorrect report was submitted on behalf of the Parish Council. The revised comments have been reviewed and they do not raise any new issues, however they do clarify in further detail some of the concerns raised. Reference has been made to:

- Preparation of a flood alleviation scheme for Stanford Dingley on behalf of the Environment Agency following the 2007 floods. Reference was made in this report to it being unlikely that any new housing would be constructed on Butchers Piece.

- Looking to obtain grant funding for the flood relief channel.

- The installation of a new foul water sewer between the Bradfield Farm Pumping Station and Tutts Clump in 2011 has alleviated some of the sewer flooding, but it has yet to be tested in more extreme storm conditions. Thames Water has mentioned this issue in their response to this potential development

- Neighbouring properties flooded in February 2009.

- Question success of the proposed flood compensatory excavation.
- Until a ground conditions survey is conducted it is premature to make assumptions about the impact of the new foundations compared to the extant.
- Under floor voids welcome but not as effective as a flood relief channel.
- No details of hedging, fencing and landscaping have been provided.
- Surprised that the Environment Agency have removed their objections.

This is not considered new information and these matters are all discussed within the committee report. As such no further comments are made.