

Item 11:

Member Questions to be answered at the Executive meeting on 6 July 2023.

Members of the Executive to answer questions submitted by Councillors in accordance with the Executive Procedure Rules contained in the Council's Constitution.

A. Question submitted by Councillor Ross Mackinnon to the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Community Engagement:

"On 24 November, you, Cllr Vickers, stated "The Liberal Democrats are broadly content with the district-wide policies in the Local Plan that we'll be asked to vote on next Thursday. We certainly won't be voting against the motion to publish it for the Regulation 19 consultation." Why then, one week later, did your group vote against publishing the Local Plan for the Regulation 19 consultation?"

B. Question submitted by Councillor Dominic Boeck to the Portfolio Holder for Highways, Housing and Sustainable Travel:

"Since GWR introduced charges in both their car parks at Aldermaston Station, rail travellers have been parking in every available space in Station Road, Aldermaston Wharf, causing considerable inconvenience to nearby residents. For example, one young family with small children are consistently unable to park within a reasonable distance from their home, which severely affects their wellbeing and the quality of their lives. Residents, Beenham and Aldermaston Parish Councils and I have persistently asked Highways to address this problem, without success. What commitment will the Portfolio Holder make to consider the problem of parking at Aldermaston Wharf?"

C. Question submitted by Councillor Carlyne Culver to the Portfolio Holder for Climate Action, Recycling and Biodiversity:

"Is West Berkshire Council ready to fulfil its impending obligation from the Environment Act to create a Local Nature Recovery Strategy?"

D. Question submitted by Councillor David Marsh to the Portfolio Holder for Highways, Housing and Sustainable Travel:

"Further to her comments at the last Executive meeting about the problem of long-term empty properties, will the portfolio holder undertake that the council will: 1 Take rigorous action under Section 215 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to ensure that owners of buildings left empty, and which pose an environmental hazard to neighbours as well as "adversely affecting the amenity of the area", are held responsible for restoring them to an acceptable level? 2 Where the owner refuses to do this, and where a property has been left empty for at least two years, take out an EDMO (empty dwelling management order) under the Housing Act 2004 on the property? 3 Where both these approaches are unsuccessful, serve a CPO (compulsory purchase order) so that suitable properties can be brought back into use as much-needed homes?"

E. Question submitted by Councillor Ross Mackinnon to the Portfolio Holder for Highways, Housing and Sustainable Travel:

"The Liberal manifesto promised to fix all potholes "first time", and dangerous potholes within 72 hours of notification. What specific changes to the Council's

Item 11:

Member Questions to be answered at the Executive meeting on 6 July 2023.

Members of the Executive to answer questions submitted by Councillors in accordance with the Executive Procedure Rules contained in the Council's Constitution.

highway maintenance processes will you make to keep this promise, and how much will they cost?"

F. Question submitted by Councillor Ross Mackinnon to the Portfolio Holder for Finance and Corporate Services:

"The Liberal Democrat manifesto promised to remove the Garden Waste charge. How much income did this charge generate in 2022-23?"

G. Question submitted by Councillor Ross Mackinnon to the Portfolio Holder for Finance and Corporate Services:

"At the Budget Council meeting on 2 March, where the Liberals failed to suggest any amendments to the Conservative administration's budget, Cllr Abbs promised that if the Liberals won control of the Council at the election, they would present an emergency budget detailing what their amendments would have been. Will there in fact be an emergency budget, or is this the first broken promise of the new Liberal administration?"

H. Question submitted by Councillor Ross Mackinnon to the Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Growth and Strategy Development:

"The Council has announced that it will not commercially develop the former pitch at Faraday Road and instead use it for football matches, despite a superb alternative facility being shortly available at the Sports Hub. Leaving aside the constitutional propriety of that decision, approximately how many jobs would have been created on the Faraday Road site if it were commercially developed by 2026 as planned by the previous administration?"